



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/003,113	12/06/2001	Shunichi Sekiguchi	216934US2	5214
22850	7590	12/05/2008		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER HUNG, YUBIN	
			ART UNIT 2624	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 12/05/2008	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com  
oblonpat@oblon.com  
jgardner@oblon.com

## Appendix A

1. In the 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph on P. 3 Applicant states that Fu does not teach or suggest softening edges intentionally before coding, which is correct but the deficiency is cured by Mitra as set forth in the Office action mailed 09/24/08 [see paragraph 6]. (Applicant quoted Col. 13, lines 52-56 of Fu; however, that passage discusses Fig. 3, not Fig. 5, which was cited in the rejection of claim 1. Additionally, Applicant asserts that edges “inevitably disappeared” in lines 4-5 in the 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph. However, Fu only said that JPEG “tends to” soften edges; “tend to” is very different from “inevitable” and therefore there mostly will still be many edges that are not softened by the compression and Mitra’s teaching, namely to smooth the edges, is still very desirable.)

2. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re*

Art Unit: 2624

*Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, reasons to combine were given in the rejection of claim 1 in the 09/24/08 Office action (see paragraph 6).

/Yubin Hung/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2624